UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,968	05/02/2006	Joseph McCrossan	504781600	5184
52044 7590 10/16/2008 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. (Panasonic) 600 ANTON BOULEVARD SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			BASHORE, WILLIAM L	
COSTA MESA	, CA 92626		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/577,968	MCCROSSAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	WILLIAM L. BASHORE	2175					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 Ju</u>	ine 2008.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
Attachment/c)							
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 29, 33, 37, 38 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

Species I – **claims 31-32, 35-36** – substantially drawn to On-screen workspace or development/Pop-up control, **class 715, subclass 808**.

Species II – claims 30, 34 – substantially drawn to On-screen workspace or development/Menu or selectable iconic array, **class 715, subclass 810**.

The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,968 Page 3

Art Unit: 2175

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a

species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of

the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the

election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the

election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be

presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will

result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must

indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit

evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art,

the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional

species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37

CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to WILLIAM L. BASHORE at telephone

number (571)272-4088.

/WILLIAM L. BASHORE/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175